



Internal Audit Annual Report 2021/22

Cardiff Council

Mission Statement

To enhance and protect organisational value by providing risk-based and objective assurance, advice and insight

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, PLEASE CONTACT:

Chris Pyke

Audit Manager

County Hall, Atlantic Wharf

Cardiff, CF10 4UW

CPyke@cardiff.gov.uk Tel: 029 2087 3455

Contents Page

1	Introduction	2
	Background	2
	The Annual Reporting Process	3
	Requirement for Internal Audit	3
	Independence and Objectivity	3
2.	Context and Approach	4
	Audit Plan 2021/22	4
	Audit Consultancy	4
	Assurance Audit Plan	5
	Developing the Audit Opinion	5
3.	Opinion & Control Environment Review	6
	Opinion 2021/22	6
	How the control environment is reviewed	9
4.	Summary of Work Performed	11
	Reports to Governance and Audit Committee	14
5.	Quality Assurance and Improvement Programme	15
	Requirements and Assurance	15
	Performance	17
	Benchmarking	19
	Quality Assurance Review	20
	Post Audit Assessment & Customer Feedback	21
	Audit Recommendations	22
	Internal Audit Team Resources	23
	Continuing Professional Development	24
	Experience and Qualifications	25
6.	Conclusions	25
	Appendices	
	Appendix A - Audit Outputs Issued	27
	Appendix B - Work Areas where an Audit Output is not prepared	34
	Appendix C - Quality Assurance and improvement Programme	35

1. Introduction

Background

- 1.1 The Internal Audit Team has an important role, as set out in the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS), and contained within its mission, to [‘To enhance and protect organisational value by providing risk-based and objective assurance, advice and insight.’](#)
- 1.2 Management are responsible for the system of internal control and for setting policies and procedures to ensure that internal controls are robust and functioning correctly, to effectively address and mitigate risks to the delivery of objectives across the organisation. Internal Audit is an assurance and consultancy function. It completes a range of work to provide an independent and objective opinion on the Council’s control environment (governance, risk management and control), and offers critical advice, guidance and insight to management.
- 1.3 The Internal Audit Team operates in accordance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS). In order to demonstrate conformance with the PSIAS, the Audit Manager maintains a Quality Assurance and Improvement Programme (QAIP). This is summarised within Section 5 of this report, through which there is a process of ongoing monitoring, periodic review, and 5-yearly external review to accord to PSIAS Standard 1300. The QAIP is designed to provide confidence to those relying on the Internal Audit Team’s work on the professional standards applied and the quality of services.
- 1.4 During the year, the Governance and Audit Committee’s terms of reference required it to oversee internal audit arrangements and delivery. Quarterly performance reports have been provided to the Committee throughout the year, and this annual report is presented to the Committee for consideration and comment.
- 1.5 Throughout the year, audit staff and clients have been operating in the context of an ongoing pandemic. This has presented challenges to staff availability; it has changed the operating environment and has introduced new risks. Section 2 of this report provides details of this operating context, and the approach to delivering a comprehensive internal audit service at a time when a need for robust assurance has remained vital.

The Annual Reporting Process

- 1.6 This annual report gives an overview of audit performance during 2021/22, provides an opinion on the adequacy of the control environment in Cardiff Council, and reports the incidence of any significant control weaknesses.
- 1.7 The annual report includes:
- (a) The Audit Manager's opinion on the Council's control environment;
 - (b) A summary of the work that supports the opinion; and
 - (c) A statement on conformance with the PSIAS and the results of the Quality Assurance and Improvement Programme.

Requirement for Internal Audit

- 1.8 The Council has a duty to maintain an adequate and effective system of internal audit of its accounting records and system of internal control. The Council also has a duty to prepare an Annual Governance Statement. The Audit Manager's opinion on the Council's control environment from this report is included within the Annual Governance Statement, to provide independent assurance to the Council's stakeholders based on the Internal Audit's programme of work.
- 1.9 The Audit Manager (referred to as the Chief Audit Executive in the PSIAS) must provide an annual internal audit opinion and report, timed to support the Annual Governance Statement, and conclude on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the organisation's framework of governance, risk management and control. In doing so, the Audit Manager undertakes an assessment of the adequacy of the controls in place to support the achievement of management and corporate objectives.

Independence and Objectivity (PSIAS standard 1100)

- 1.10 The Audit Manager does not manage any functions other than the Internal Audit and Investigation Teams. Organisational independence has been achieved throughout 2021/22. The Corporate Director of Resources & Section 151 Officer is the Senior Manager overseeing the Internal Audit Function. The Audit Manager reports administratively to the Head of Finance (Deputy Section 151 Officer) and has direct reporting access to the Chief Executive, the Chair of Governance and Audit Committee and all elected Members where required.
- 1.11 Internal Auditors are required to undertake audits in line with the provision of the PSIAS and in accordance with the Codes of Ethics of any professional bodies of which they are members.

The importance of independence is communicated to auditors and care is taken to ensure that all audit work is undertaken in an independent and objective manner.

1.12 The PSIAS requires any conflicts of interest or impairment to independence or objectivity to be disclosed. Each year, all members of the Internal Audit section are required to complete a Staff Declaration Statement (and update it if circumstances change during the year). This identifies any potential conflict of interest that any member of the team may have, which is considered when assigning and undertaking audits. All declarations were appropriately taken into account in allocating and conducting work. There were no impairments to independence or objectivity in 2021/22.

2. Context and Approach

Audit Plan 2021/22

2.1 The Audit Plan 2021/22 was approved by the Governance and Audit Committee on 23 March 2021, after consideration and agreement with the Council's Senior Management Team. The Plan provided the framework for audit work aligned to the Council's corporate governance arrangements, whilst also being responsive to any changes to the risks faced by the Council during the year. Each year the Plan is mapped to business objectives, and key systems, whilst being informed by risk, governance and assurance assessments.

2.2 During the year to date, the priorities and approach of the audit team were to deliver a combination of management support through consultation and engagement in high-risk areas, and to progress targeted assurance engagements from the Audit Plan.

Audit Consultancy

2.3 The majority of audit consultancy and support has been provided in respect of the administration of Welsh Government COVID-19 funds for a range of grant and relief payment schemes. The focus has been to provide guidance on the design and implementation of effective controls in the administration these schemes to achieve compliance and control, and arrangements for the effective prevention and detection of fraud.

2.4 As administration responsibilities are allocated to the Council for further schemes, audit will continue to be available for advice and guidance on the design and application of robust controls.

Assurance Audit Plan

- 2.5 The Audit Plan is substantially comprised of assurance audit engagements, designed to support a full annual audit opinion on the Council's control environment. The Plan is of sufficient size to enable decisions on the timing and prioritisation of audit engagements to be made as each year progresses. Each year, a proportion of the Audit Plan is targeted for delivery, and the target for 2021/22 was 70%.
- 2.6 Whilst set on an annual basis, the Audit Plan is adaptable and responsive and subject to ongoing risk assessment, prioritisation, and review throughout the year to maximise assurance and management support. In its meeting on 23 November 2021, the Governance and Audit Committee approved the deferral of 29 audit engagements from the Audit Plan 2021/22 and the addition of a corporate performance management audit. This action did not impact the performance target, but indicated the strategic priorities, focussing on the delivery of all planned audits of fundamental systems, a high level of coverage in areas of core governance and a spread of directorate level systems.
- 2.7 During the year, auditors completed the majority of their work while working remotely from home and only a small number of site visits were undertaken (supported by authorised risk assessments and control processes), in consideration of business requirements.

Developing the Audit Opinion

- 2.8 During the financial year, the Audit Plan and approach to delivery has been designed in order to target assurance on key risks and controls, and to provide an adequate body of assurance work to support a full annual opinion on the Council's control environment. This has included adding a substantial provision in the Plan for COVID-19 related audit consultancy work, setting a prioritised approach to auditing fundamental systems and corporate governance, and progressing a targeted spread of wider assurance work.
- 2.9 All decisions in respect of the Plan have been made with appropriate attention to risk, professional standards and advisories from CIPFA, the CIIA, the Internal Audit Standards Advisory Board and the advice of professional and peer officers. Links to relevant documents considered during the year are contained within the table below.

Source	Guidance Links
Internal Audit Standards Advisory Board	IASAB Guidance - conforming with the PSIAS and developing overall opinions during the pandemic
Chartered Institute of Public Finance & Accountancy	Head of Internal Audit Annual Opinions: Addressing the Risk of a Limitation of Scope

2.10 In considering professional guidance, audit coverage and risk:

- Priority was given to substantially delivering the ‘fundamental’ and ‘corporate governance’ categories of audits. Only one fundamental system audit was not completed by the year-end (Income and Debtors); and the majority of Corporate Governance audits were delivered.
- At a directorate level, a number of service specific and a thematic audit were deferred, with the agreement of Audit Committee on 23 November 2021. The deferred audits were carefully selected in consultation with Directors, considering current assurance and the importance of each audit engagement to achieving a full annual opinion on the Council’s control environment.

2.11 Prior to the start of the financial year a new comprehensive school CRSA was developed, responded to by schools, and subject to desktop audit review. The outcomes and areas for control improvements were communicated to schools during 2021/22.

3. Opinion & Control Environment Review

Opinion 2021/22 (PSIAS standard 2450)

3.1 The Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS) state that the ‘Chief Audit Executive must deliver an annual internal audit opinion and report that can be used by the organisation to inform its governance statement.’ This must be based upon an objective assessment of the framework of governance, risk management and control. It must also include an evaluation of the adequacy and effectiveness of controls in responding to risks within the governance, operations and information systems.

3.2 This opinion has been prepared by the Audit Manager (Chief Audit Executive for the purpose of the PSIAS), based on the provisions of the PSIAS. In preparing and forming this opinion,

a number of sources of information, assurance and insight have been taken into account, including:

- Assurance Audit Engagements – The risk-based annual audit plan has been delivered through an approach to maximise assurance and added value. The audit plan is responsive to risk and informed by audit information and existing assurances, information from Senior Management, monitoring of Council activity, strategy, risks, and wider assurance sources. Following the completion of assurance audits last year of new systems established as a result of the pandemic, during 2021/22, the full senior management team was engaged in a corporate audit of directorate recovery planning, which concluded that the Council has positioned itself in a way that it can strategically respond to the challenges that it has and will face regarding recovery. In the midst of the pandemic, audits of performance management and business continuity and incident management have provided corporate assurance on governance and control arrangements. For all audits, current risks, pressures and priorities continue to inform the audit scope and testing.
- Consultancy Audit Engagements - In the context of the ongoing pandemic, the majority of audit consultancy related to the design and application of sound controls in administration of Welsh Government grant and relief payments. The team has provided insight and guidance on areas of control during process development / change, such as in policy development in respect of the Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard.
- Discussion with Senior Managers – There has been quarterly relationship manager meetings with Directors or their representatives, Audit Manager attendance at Senior Management Team meetings where assurance items has been considered, and regular meetings with the Chief Executive and Corporate Director Resources (Section 151 Officer).
- Risk & Governance Reviews – Risk Registers and Senior Management Assurance Statements which underpin the Council’s Annual Governance Statement are subject to regular audit review, and assurance audit engagements.

3.3 Based on the programme of audit work undertaken to assess the framework and application of governance, risk management and control, the annual opinion for 2021/22 is **effective with opportunity for improvement**. This opinion is based on the delivery of a sufficient Audit Plan, and with an acknowledgment of the significant pressure on resources in the context of an ongoing pandemic in both demand and budgets, which has been managed through a resilient performance culture.

- 3.4 The audit opinion comprises assessments of governance, risk management and control, and includes an evaluation of the adequacy and effectiveness of controls in responding to risks within the governance, operations and information systems.
- All planned fundamental system reviews have been completed, of which the audit of income and debtors concluded shortly after the financial year-end.
 - In accordance with an approach communicated to the Governance and Audit Committee, the majority of corporate governance audit engagements were completed during the year, supported by a spread of directorate-level assurance audit engagements.
 - The standard systems for corporate and directorate risk management have been maintained during the year, and COVID-19 specific risks have continued to be recorded, monitored and reported.
 - The Council was required to administer emergency relief and grant payments on behalf of the Welsh Government at pace. Over the year, the Council distributed a significant amount of grant and relief funding, and the Internal Audit and Investigation Teams advised management on the design of systems and counter-fraud checks in accordance with scheme requirements as part of a multidisciplinary team and participated in data matching checks through the National Fraud Initiative. Post assurance manual and data matching checks and analysis have not identified material cases of fraud or error to date in the context of the value of payments, although a number of case reviews are ongoing.
- 3.5 The control environment for core financial systems was sufficient, and the majority of audits overall (73%) were allocated an opinion of 'effective' or 'effective with opportunity for improvement'. Nineteen audits have been given an audit opinion of 'insufficient with major improvement needed' recognising the need for attention to achieve sound controls, and one audit (in respect of Cardiff West Community High School) was given an 'unsatisfactory' opinion, and has an agreed set of management actions.
- 3.6 Waste Management has been an area where a greater proportion of adverse audit opinions have been allocated in recent years. Through the audit work in this area, and engagement with management, the position is recognised to be continuing to improve. Audit assurance and support continues to target and follow up on high risk areas.

How the control environment is reviewed

3.7 Internal Audit uses a risk-based approach to audit planning, in accordance with Section 2010 of the PSIAS. The Council's Corporate Risk Register was used to inform the annual audit plan for 2021/22, together with sources of assurance from both within and outside the Council to ensure that audit time and resources targeted the more significant areas. This risk-based approach to audit planning captures emerging risks and issues, and results in a comprehensive range of audits that are undertaken to support the overall opinion on the control environment.

3.8 For 2021/22, the audit assurance opinion definitions were used as outlined in the table when allocating an audit opinion. These assurance levels and definitions were based on those developed by the Chartered Institute of Internal Auditors (CIIA).

Assurance level	Definition
Effective	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The controls evaluated are adequate and appropriate The control environment appears sound to provide reasonable assurance that all high level risks are adequately controlled No findings noted (or a small number of low risk recommendations)
Effective with opportunity for improvement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A few specific control weaknesses and/or opportunities for improvement were noted Controls evaluated are otherwise adequate and appropriate to provide reasonable assurance that risks are adequately controlled
Insufficient with major improvement needed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some high level risks are not adequately controlled Numerous specific control weaknesses were noted Although immediate soundness and safety are not threatened, the control environment requires improvement as it does not provide reasonable assurance that all high level risks are adequately controlled There may be a risk of exposure to fraud or security vulnerabilities
Unsatisfactory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The control environment is not adequate and is below standard The control environment is considered unsound A lack of attention could lead to significant losses

3.9 The table below sets out the Red / Amber / Green (RAG) ratings given for recommendations and information on the criteria applied when allocating the risk rating.

Rating	Criteria
Red	<p>This is a high priority issue and immediate attention is required. This is a serious internal control or risk management issue that, if not mitigated, may (with a high degree of certainty) lead to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Substantial losses, possibly in conjunction with other weaknesses in the control framework or the organisational entity or process being audited • Serious violation of Council strategies, policies or values • Serious reputational damage • Significant adverse or regulatory impact, such as loss of operating licenses or material fines <p>Examples are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A policy / procedure does not exist for significant Council processes • Preventative, detective and mitigating controls do not exist • Council reputation or financial status is at risk • Fraud or theft is detected • Council is not in compliance with laws and regulations
Red / Amber	<p>This is a medium priority issue and timely management action is warranted. This is an internal control or risk management issue that could lead to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial losses • Loss of controls within the organisational entity or process being audited • Reputation damage • Adverse regulatory impact, such as public sanctions or immaterial fines <p>Examples are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A policy exists but adherence is inconsistent • Preventative and detective controls do not exist, but mitigating controls do exist • The Council's compliance with laws and regulations requires additional evaluation and review • There is a possibility of inappropriate activity
Amber / Green	<p>This is a low priority issue and routine management attention is warranted. This is an internal control or risk management issue, the solution to which may lead to improvement in the quality and / or efficiency of the organisational entity or process being audited.</p> <p>Examples are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A policy exists, but was not adhered to on an exception basis • Preventative controls do not exist, but detective and mitigating controls exist • There is a remote possibility of inappropriate activity
Green	Best practice

- 3.10 Audits continue to highlight system weaknesses in some areas and / or compliance issues which identify further opportunities to enhance control. The Council has over a number of years lost a considerable number of officers with experience and knowledge, resulting in process and role changes in order to absorb the impact. The pressure to change services due to constrained financial resources being available is bringing with it new challenges and risks that need to be managed.
- 3.11 The Internal Audit approach is informed by this risk, and an inherent prioritisation is given to providing assurance on core financial systems and areas of governance ahead of more localised service specific audits. The actual audits allocated are based on the application of a risk-based planning process, informed by wider sources of intelligence and assurance.
- 3.12 The audit plan in 2021/22 continued an approach to allocating resources to audit assurance themes across the Council and reviews of pre-contract assurance were completed in each directorate during the year. Some pre-contract assurance audits provided insufficient assurance, as whilst there was general evidence of good working arrangements with Commissioning and Procurement and other professional disciplines, there were gaps in the completeness of processes followed to achieve effective assurance prior to entering contracts. Common areas for attention included the need for documented and complete procurement plans, risk assessments, supplier suitability and vetting assessments, and to ensure all corporate processes were complete, such as health and safety, Welsh language and socially responsible procurement requirements. Recommendations have been raised accordingly for enhanced directorate oversight and review.

4. Summary of Work Performed

- 4.1 The work of Internal Audit, as defined in the PSIAS, encompasses the whole internal control system and is not limited to financial controls. It is defined as helping ‘... *an organisation accomplish its objectives by bringing a systematic, disciplined approach to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of risk management, control and governance processes.*’ The nature of audit services is outlined in the Audit Strategy and the Audit Charter. The Audit Plan documents the listing of planned audit engagements each year.
- The Audit Charter and the Audit Plan 2021/22 were approved by the Governance and Audit Committee on 23 March 2021, and at this time the Committee also considered the Audit Strategy.

- To further clarify the priority areas of audit focus this year, against a performance target to deliver 70% of the original Audit Plan, the Governance and Audit Committee approved the deferral of 29 Audit engagements on 23 November 2021.

4.2 A listing of the audit engagements delivered in 2021/22 is attached in **Appendix A**, whereby 71 new audit engagements were completed to at least draft output stage against a plan of 116 audits (61%), and a further 23 draft outputs from the prior year were finalised. The Audit Plan is responsive to risk and, accordingly, some audits were added, deferred and cancelled during the financial year, with the engagement of, and approval where required from, the Governance and Audit Committee. Information on audits cancelled and deferred, and those ongoing at the financial year-end are also disclosed within Appendix A.

4.3 The audits completed in 2021/22 and the assurance levels given are shown in the table below:

Status	Number of audit outputs	Opinion				
		Effective	Effective with opportunity for improvement	Insufficient (major improvement needed)	Unsatisfactory	No opinion given
Draft	31	4	21	5	0	1
Final	63	4	29	15	1	14
TOTAL	94	8	50	20	1	15
	71	New Audit Engagements Completed				
	23	Finalised Audit Engagements from 2020/21				

4.4 Assurance audit engagements will generally result in an audit opinion, whereas audit work of a consultation or advisory nature will not typically assign an assurance rating. The listing of assurance and consultancy audit engagements completed is included in Appendix A.

4.5 It should be noted that in respect of CRSA style audits, an audit opinion is not provided at the point of completion by the directorate advice. The audit opinion is provided upon once sufficient in-year testing has been completed which follows the original CRSA receipt, advice and guidance to management.

4.6 Details of all audits and audit opinions, together with other tasks performed and key performance indicators, are reported quarterly to the Chief Executive and Section 151 Officer and at each meeting of the Governance and Audit Committee.

4.7 The table below shows the audits completed in 2021/22 analysed over the different audit areas.

Audit Area	Effective	Effective with opportunity for improvement	Insufficient (major improvement needed)	Unsatisfactory	No opinion given	TOTAL
Fundamental	4	8	1		2	15
Corporate Governance	1	15	5		3	24
Adults Services, Housing and Communities	1	3	1			5
Childrens Services		1	2			3
Economic Development		1	5			6
Education and Lifelong Learning	1	14	4	1		20
Governance and Legal		2				2
People and Communities		1			1	2
Planning, Transport and Environment		2	2			4
Resources	1	2				3
Grants / Accounts / External Bodies		1			9	10
TOTALS	8	50	20	1	15	94

4.8 It can be seen in Appendix A that a number of audits have been given an audit opinion of “insufficient with major improvement needed” recognising the need for attention to achieve sound controls. One audit, of Cardiff West Community High School, was given an “unsatisfactory” opinion. All unsatisfactory audits are subject to a follow-up audit, and audit assurance and support targets and follows up on the highest risk areas.

4.9 It should be recognised that not all of the work undertaken by the Audit team results in an audit report or action plan. Work is undertaken in areas that provide assurance on risk management and internal control, including advice and guidance (both on current issues and on system development) and interpretation of Council Regulations. **Appendix B** provides a list of work areas where a standard audit output may not be the outcome.

4.10 A key element of the role of Internal Audit has continued to be working with clients where systems and processes are being redesigned and reconfigured. Particular attention has been given to such changes relating to the pandemic, as outlined in section 2 of this report. Here the auditor’s role is to provide advice and guidance for management to support them to ensure risks are properly identified and mitigated, controls are adequately considered and prioritised, and that schemes are delivered effectively.

Reports to the Governance and Audit Committee

4.11 An important role of the Governance and Audit Committee in 2021/22 has been to oversee the role and performance of the Council’s Internal Audit team. In addition, Internal Audit has been required to inform the Governance and Audit Committee about the adequacy of the Council’s governance and internal control systems. The table below summarises the information the Committee has received from Internal Audit during 2021/22.

Report	Purpose
Internal Audit update reports	<p>Regular summaries of the performance of Internal Audit, the audit work scheduled and completed, and the critical findings and trends.</p> <p>This has supported the Governance and Audit Committee to discharge its role in 2021/22 in overseeing the work and performance of audit, and the adequacy of council governance, risk management and internal control</p>
Annual Audit Plan, Charter and Strategy 2021/22 & 2022/23	<p>Considered alongside the Audit Strategy, the Audit Plan 2021/22 and Charter were approved in the March 2021 Governance and Audit Committee meeting. Any changes or updates to the Plan were provided for comment, observation and approval where required by the Committee. The Committee considered the Strategy and approved the Annual Audit Plan 2022/23 and Charter in March 2022.</p>

Report	Purpose
Annual Reports 2020/21	Last year's Internal Audit Annual Report was provided to Governance and Audit Committee in July 2021, providing an overview of the work undertaken by Internal Audit and the Audit Manager's opinion on the Council's control environment for 2020/21. The Counter-Fraud Annual Report 2020/21 was provided to the Governance and Audit Committee for awareness and consideration in September 2021.

5. Quality Assurance and Improvement Programme

Requirements and Assurance (PSIAS Standard 1300)

- 5.1 Internal Audit is committed to working to the highest professional standards, and to delivering quality services that add value for senior management. The Audit Manager maintains a Quality Assurance and Improvement Programme (QAIP), which is designed to achieve high professional performance operating in accordance with PSIAS and the Code of Ethics. The QAIP is summarised in **Appendix C**, and included in the Internal Audit Charter.
- 5.2 The QAIP developed for Cardiff Council's Internal Audit Team is based on a performance management approach to delivering 'output focussed – quality controlled' audit services. The approach in Cardiff has been included in a compilation of good practice CIPFA case studies. [Leading internal audit in the public sector – principles into practice, 2019](#)
- 5.3 The PSIAS provides the following outline of the QAIP:
 'A quality assurance and improvement programme is designed to enable an evaluation of the internal audit activity's conformance with the [Standards](#) and an evaluation of whether internal auditors apply the [Code of Ethics](#). The programme also assesses the efficiency and effectiveness of the internal audit activity and identifies opportunities for improvement.'
- 5.4 The quality assurance and improvement programme must include both internal and external assessments, the requirements, and the review process applied by the Audit Manager are documented in the table below.

Requirements		Process of Review and Conformance
INTERNAL ASSESSMENTS	Ongoing monitoring of the performance of the internal audit activity.	<p>Each auditor has monthly monitoring, mid-audit, and quality assurance reviews, to ensure that all audits are complete, of a high professional standard, and delivered in conformance with the PSIAS and the Code of Ethics.</p> <p>A range of performance information is reported and monitored on a balanced scorecard basis as outlined in Appendix C.</p> <p>The Audit Manager considers and reports on performance information that makes up the QAIP in each Governance and Audit Committee Progress Report, through which any non-conformance would be disclosed.</p> <p>Throughout the year the Audit Manager has considered practice advisories and guidance in respect of delivering professional responsibilities and reporting from the IASAB, the CIIA and CIPFA, as outlined in section 2 of this report.</p>
INTERNAL ASSESSMENTS	Periodic self-assessments or assessments by other persons within the organisation with sufficient knowledge of internal audit practices.	<p>On an annual basis, the Audit Manager considers the QAIP performance for the year as a whole, reflecting on the ongoing assurance framework information and outputs, and through the following annual activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completing a self-assessment against the Local Government Application Note • Reviewing performance against the CIPFA Statement on 'The role of the Head of Internal Audit' • Reviewing the results of the skills assessment exercise completed by all members of the Internal Audit Team, in application of the CIIA Competency Framework • Reviewing the annual Personal Reviews for all members of the Internal Audit Team. <p><i>The Audit Manager is a qualified accountant and a chartered member of the Institute of Internal Auditors.</i></p>

Requirements		Process of Review and Conformance
EXTERNAL ASSESSMENTS	External Assessments conducted at least once every five years by a qualified, independent assessor or assessment team from outside the organisation.	<p>The current external peer assessment was completed by the Head of Audit from Rhondda Cynon Taf and reported to Governance and Audit Committee in March 2018.</p> <p>Through the Local Authority Welsh Chief Internal Auditor Group, an arrangement has been made for the Chief Internal Auditor in Monmouthshire to undertake the next peer assessment, and the review will commence during quarter 3 2022/23. The external assessment has been arranged for independent assurance on ongoing conformance with the PSIAS.</p>

5.5 Through application of the QAIP, assurance can be provided of ongoing conformance with the PSIAS and application of the Code of Ethics. This position is supported by an annual internal review against the CIPFA Local Government Application Note in April 2022.

Performance

5.6 An audit planning control database is maintained to effectively monitor work done in line with that planned. The database is used to allocate engagements, record work completed and to provide key performance information for management. Auditors are required to complete timesheets to record work undertaken, so management can continually assess productivity and progress against the Plan.

5.7 The performance for the year is reported to the Finance Management Team by the Audit Manager and discussed with the audit team. The quarterly results for 2021/22 are in the table below:

Performance Indicator	2020/21 Outcome	Target	2021/22 Results			
			Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
The percentage of the audit plan completed	55%	70%	13%	24%	36%	61%
The average number of audit productive days per FTE	145	150	33	64	104	147
The average number of finalised audits per FTE	8.51	9	1.6	3.4	5.7	7.4

Performance Indicator	2020/21 Outcome	Target	2021/22 Results			
			Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
The percentage of draft audit outputs delivered within four weeks <small>*2020/21 outcome was based on delivery within six weeks</small>	97%*	90%	100%	100%	90%	92%
The percentage of audit recommendations implemented within the agreed timescale	69%	80%	69%	64%	69%	68%

5.8 Whilst measures of productive audit days and the timeframe for producing draft outputs were broadly in line with targets, the percentage of the Audit Plan Completed (at 61%) and the number of finalised audits per FTE (at 7.4) were lower than planned. During the year, the Team has faced reduced capacity which has affected delivery of the Audit Plan, most notably from a small number of long-term sickness cases. In the context of reduced staff resource, it is considered that an effective outcome was achieved in terms of audit delivery, coverage and focus. Information on the coverage and focus is contained on section 2 of this report 'context and approach'.

5.9 In respect of the percentage of audit recommendations implemented within the agreed timescale, directorate performance remains materially below the target set, and improvements are also being targeted in finalising audits on a timely basis. During the year the Audit Manager attended Senior Management Team meetings to provide relevant analysis, to discuss performance, and to emphasise the importance of continued management attention in these areas.

5.10 One of the strengths of the recommendation tracker process is that higher risk ('red' and 'red/amber') recommendations are not closed down without evidence of satisfactory completion and, accordingly, a proportion of recommendations are not recognised as completed until this information has been received.

5.11 The full recommendation tracker has been provided to the Governance and Audit Committee quarterly during 2021/22, and directors have been required to provide an account of their progress in addressing audit recommendations in their reports to Governance and Audit Committee on their respective control environments. The response to audits and recommendations will continue to be a prominent focus going forward.

Benchmarking

5.12 The Internal Audit team is a member of the Welsh Chief Auditors and Core Cities benchmarking groups through which all exercises are participated in. The 2020/21 Welsh Local Authority benchmarking exercise was completed in time for reporting in the Internal Audit Annual Report 2020/21. The Core UK Cities exercise has subsequently been completed and the position against both benchmarking groups is presented in the table below.

5.13 Out of the twenty-two local authorities in Wales, benchmarking data was received from sixteen in 2020/21, and out of the 10 Core UK Cities benchmarking data was received from six local authorities. Benchmarking submissions did not include data against all performance indicators.

5.14 Benchmarking data is included in the table below which indicates that:

- Cardiff completed a substantially higher number of audit engagements than the Welsh Group average, but fewer than the Core UK Cities on average.
- The 'percentage of the Audit Plan completed' dropped in Cardiff compared to both benchmarking groups during 2020/21. The reason for this is because in Cardiff, the Plan was developed based on available resources in normal operating circumstances, and the performance target was lowered. This approach was taken as an alternative to directly reducing the number of audits in the Plan relative to the anticipated impact of the pandemic.
- The percentage of audit productive time (to available time) in Cardiff was consistent with the Core UK Cities, but remained higher than the Welsh Group average.
- Client satisfaction remains high across Welsh local authorities, and greater than the and Cardiff performance is greater than the average for Core UK Cities.
- Cardiff had a consistent staff cost per productive audit day with the Welsh Group Average, but a lower cost than the benchmarked average of Core UK Cities. The number of chargeable days were impacted by the pandemic in 2020/21.

Measures	2020/21		
	Cardiff	Welsh Chief Auditors Group Average	Core Uk Cities Average
AUDIT PLAN			
Number of Audits Planned	137	48	126
The percentage of the Audit Plan Completed	55%	67%	77%
PRODUCTIVITY			
The percentage of Audit Productive time (to available time)	75%	66%	76%
CUSTOMERS			
The percentage of Client Surveys Returned	62%	57%	32%
The percentage of returned Client Surveys rated "Satisfied" or better	100%	100%	91%
COSTS			
Staff Costs Per Productive Audit Day	£319	£317	£364

5.15 Benchmarking exercises for 2021/22 are being undertaken at the time of reporting, and once received, results will be shared with the Governance and Audit Committee for information and review.

Quality Assurance Review

5.16 Each audit is subject to a quality assurance review by a senior member of the team to ensure the focus on key risks was retained throughout the audit engagement, that the objectives of the audit engagement have been met in full, and that the audit has been delivered in accordance with the PSIAS and the Code of Ethics. Any report with a draft assurance rating of “unsatisfactory” or “insufficient with major improvement needed”, or any with a significant finding is referred to the Audit Manager. The Audit Manager will review all reports with the above draft assurance ratings, and will consult the Audit Management Team as appropriate on the audit opinion and priority recommendations for improvement.

5.17 Following the issue of a draft audit output, a meeting is held with the Client Manager with an opportunity for them to consider the audit findings, proposed recommended actions, the risk profile and the indicative response to be made by the service. This provides a degree of assurance that the final reported position is accurate and that any recommendations are understood.

Post Audit Assessment & Customer Feedback

5.18 A 'Post Audit Assessment' is carried out as part of an ongoing commitment to quality, through a reflection on the performance of the auditor at the end of each audit. The Post Audit Assessment sets out the core competencies required whilst undertaking an audit and the auditor for each assignment has to score their performance against each of these competencies. The assessment is useful in providing assurance that key competencies are evidenced throughout the audit process and as a means of identifying training needs. Analysing the assessments helps focus individual personal reviews and provides audit management with an overview of performance at the different grades.

5.19 Following each audit, Client Managers are contacted and asked to complete a Quality Assurance Questionnaire, recognising the value placed on the feedback they provide. These questions cover four categories, and the results are used to determine areas for improvement.

5.20 During the year, 33 responses were received from the questionnaires issued. The results from the questionnaires are summarised in the table below:

	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	TOTAL
Communication	21	7	4	0	32
Auditor Advice	13	15	5	0	33
Report	9	17	6	0	32
Performance	17	11	3	0	31
TOTALS	60	50	18	0	128

5.21 It can be seen that the feedback from the audit questionnaires is positive with 100% satisfaction, which is encouraging as the nature and complexity of the assignments continues to change. The perception of the professionalism and objectivity of the Internal Audit team by audit clients continues to be high.

5.22 The questionnaires also ask Managers to indicate whether they consider that the audit process added value and 90% of respondents thought that it did. "Added value" is defined for managers as whether the audit offered ways to enhance governance, risk management and control processes, and provided relevant assurance. It can be seen that the majority of the audit clients felt that the audits undertaken were constructive and added value.

Audit Recommendations

5.23 The extent to which audit recommendations are agreed by senior managers is used as a measure of the auditor's performance as a high level suggests an understanding of the risks and controls within the area under review and adding value by proposing meaningful changes and cost effective changes.

5.24 The recommendations raised in audit reports are given a risk rating in line with the risk ratings in the Council's corporate risk strategy (red, red/amber, amber/green and green) and as set out in previous paragraphs. The table below sets out the recommendations raised by the assurance level given:

Risk Rating	2021/22 Recommendations			Recommendations	
	Made	Agreed	Being considered	Agreed & implemented in 2021/22	Implemented overall in 2021/22
Red	17	12	5	5	34
Red / amber	244	164	80	76	242
Amber / green	290	230	60	107	305
Green	26	23	3	12	34
TOTAL	577	429	148	200	615

5.25 Important as it is that audit recommendations are agreed by managers, change will only happen if the recommendations are implemented and so audit reports are monitored until all actions are closed. Of the 577 recommendations raised during the year, 200 had been implemented by the year-end.

5.26 The level of recommendations implemented on time (68%) requires improvement. As audit recommendations are consistently agreed, it is considered that managers welcome insight on how governance or controls can be enhanced, for which there is commonly agreement with a proposed course of action.

5.27 The recommendations database on SharePoint is regularly analysed and details of all recommendations raised (and their status) are presented to each meeting of the Governance and Audit Committee. As at the end of March 2022, a summary of the recommendations agreed and actioned is shown below:

Area	Recommendations Completed	Implemented in agreed timeframe	Percentage Implemented in agreed time
Schools	193	128	66%
Non-schools	261	179	69%
TOTAL	454	307	68%

Internal Audit Team Resources

5.28 The Internal Audit team had 11 members of staff (9.3 FTE) during 2021/22 overseen by the Audit Manager, as shown in the table below:

Post	Number	FTE
Audit Manager	1.0	0.70 <i>(0.3 Investigations)</i>
Group Auditor	1.0	1.0
Principal Auditor	1.0	1.0
Senior Auditor	2.0	1.9
Auditor	6.0	4.4
Audit Assistant	1.0	1.0
TOTAL	12.0	9.3

5.29 Following the financial year-end, there was a small restructure within the team. A vacant auditor post at 0.6 FTE was deleted, and a senior auditor post 1 FTE was created. The additional base budget allocation was agreed by the Corporate Director Resources, in recognition of the need for a greater level of senior auditor resource to support the consultation and assurance requirements of the team.

Post	Number	FTE
Senior Auditor	3.0	2.9
Auditor	5.0	3.8
Total	8*	6.7

* The number of post holders will be greater if vacant posts are recruited to via job share.

5.30 There have been factors that have affected the availability of staff during the year, and further information is provided in the 'performance' section of this report.

5.31 The controllable expenditure budget for the section for 2021/22 is set out in the table below:

		Budget £(000)	Actual £(000)
Employees	Employees (overall cost)	574	556
	Audit Component Inc. 0.7 FTE Audit Manager cost	439	425
	Investigations Team Component Inc. 0.3 FTE Audit Manager cost	135	131
Other	Transport	3	0
	Supplies and services	15	25
	Support Services / other contributions (Controllable)	64	67
	GROSS CONTROLLABLE EXPENDITURE	656	648

Continuing Professional Development (PSIAS standard 1230)

5.32 Internal Audit staff have a personal responsibility to maintain and develop their competencies, so that they have the necessary skills and knowledge to undertake audits to a high standard. The audit plan for 2021/22 included a budget of 35 days for training, outside of the 15 days of study support provided to the CIPFA Trainee for their placement in the team during quarter one. Staff are encouraged to update their skills, such as by attendance at relevant courses provided by the South Wales Chief Internal Auditor group (albeit these were not held during the year due to the pandemic), and suitable CIPFA or CIIA courses when budget restrictions allow. Arrangements are also made for internal training, to update and refresh knowledge on various aspects of audit methodologies and Council procedures.

5.33 There is a strong collective range of skills, experience and knowledge across the team. There are two qualified Accountants, one Chartered Internal Auditor, two Certified Internal Auditors, and most other auditors are qualified Accounting Technicians. One member of the team is being funded to study for ISACA's Certified Information Systems Auditor (CISA) qualification. The development of a lead specialist in this area will benefit the team, and complement its existing skills base.

5.34 A skills exercise is undertaken annually by each auditor as a self-assessment against the CIIA Internal Audit Competency Framework, which aligns to the mandatory elements of the PSIAS, and includes a detailed assessment of a range of technical and behavioural qualities. The results informed the Personal Review process, and the year-end Audit Manager review

against the Quality Assurance and Improvement Programme (Standard 1300), from which a generally strong baseline of knowledge and skills were recognised across the audit team.

5.35 Areas of the CIIA Professional Competencies Framework where auditors score themselves comparatively lower are used to deliver targeted training. Over the year, in-house training was provided to the team on 'improvement and innovation' which followed training in the previous year on 'fraud awareness', the 'International Professional Practice Framework' and 'business acumen'.

5.36 The provisions of the Council's Personal Review process are fully supported in the section, and are an integral component of the performance management process, as outlined in the section on the QAIP and information included in Appendix C.

Experience and qualifications

5.37 Members of the section hold various qualifications appropriate to their work, with bodies including CIPFA, CIIA and AAT. The majority of staff in the Internal Audit team have over 10 years' experience in audit.

6. Conclusion

6.1 The operating environment and availability of staff and client resources has been challenging this year. However, the position has been carefully managed throughout the year, whereby the Audit Plan and approach to delivery have been designed in order to target assurance on key risks and controls, and to provide an adequate body of assurance work to support a full annual opinion on the Council's control environment. A substantial provision was included in the Plan for COVID-19 related audit consultancy work, and a prioritised approach was followed for substantial coverage audit coverage of fundamental systems and corporate governance, and a targeted spread of wider assurance work across directorates.

6.2 All decisions in respect of the Audit Plan have been made this year with appropriate attention to risk, professional standards and advisories from CIPFA, the CIIA, the Internal Audit Standards Advisory Board and the advice of professional and peer officers.

6.3 There is a need for continued attention to the response to audit reports and recommendations and performance has been reported to Senior Management Teams at regular intervals. There is continued evidence that clients value the audit service through the feedback received.

Adverse audit findings and opinions are still limited to a small number of areas, but the team will remain vigilant moving into next year in testing the design and application of the governance and controls necessary to deliver a sound control environment.

- 6.4 The outcome of the QAIP annual self-review by the Audit Manager is that the audit service is operating in conformance with the PSIAS and all associated requirements.

2021/22 Audit Delivery

Audit Outputs Issued

I. Effective / Effective with opportunity for improvement

Opinion	Audit Area	Status of output (if not final)	
	Fundamental / High		
Effective	Risk Based Verification	Drafts Issued	
	NNDR in-year testing		
	Housing Rents		
	Fraud, Bribery and Corruption		
	Creditor payments in year testing		
	Main Accounting		
	Income and Debtors cf		
	School Asset Management – St Illtyd's		
Effective With Opportunity For Improvement	Wellbeing of Future Generations		
	Creditor Payments - In year testing 2020-21		
	Council Tax		
	Housing Benefit / Local Housing Allowances / Council Tax Reduction Scheme		
	IT business continuity		
	Taxation		
	Directorate Risk Management		
	Programme and projects risk management		
	Special Leave		
	Treasury Management		
	Disciplinary Policy		
	Pre-Contract Assurance - Governance & Legal Services		
	Income and Debtors - PTE cf		
	City Deal 2020/21		
	Schools Organisation Programme (SOP)		
	School Asset Management – The Hollies		
	School Asset Management – Herbert Thompson		
	Purchasing Cards		
Payroll in-year testing			
Asset Management			

Opinion	Audit Area	Status of output (if not final)	
	Whistleblowing Processes	Drafts Issued	
	Information governance		
	Cradle to grave audit		
	Directorate Recovery Planning		
	Pre-Contract Assurance - Resources		
	Pre-Contract Assurance - Adults, Housing & Communities		
	School Health and Safety – Allensbank Primary School		
	School Health and Safety – St Mellons C/W Primary		
	School Health and Safety – Severn Primary School		
	School Health and Safety – Greenhill Primary School		
	School Health and Safety – Llanishen High School		
	Corporate Performance Management		
	Medium		
Effective Opportunity For Improvement	Audit of scrutiny functions		
	Follow-up audit - contracts in Waste Management		
	Follow-up audit - St. Peter's		
	Members' allowances		
	Glamorgan Archives		
	Bilingual Cardiff		
	Libraries		
	Homelessness - Temporary Accommodation		
	Social Services - CHAD		
	Llanedeyrn Primary		
	Marlborough Primary		
	Mary Immaculate		
	British Council Grants - Follow up		
	Concessionary Travel		
	Value for money in Digital Initiatives		Drafts Issued
	Business Continuity and Incident Management		
	Telecare		
	Ysgol Bro Edern		

II. Insufficient with major improvement needed / Unsatisfactory

	Audit Area	Status of report (if not final)
Fundamental / High		
Insufficient Major Improvement Needed	Payment Card Industry	
	Cardiff Bus - governance relationship with Cardiff Council	
	Contract Monitoring and Management	
	Education - Asset Management	
	Temporary stores - Dominions Way	
	Establishment Reviews	
	Pre-Contract Assurance – Economic Development (inc Waste Management)	
	Pre-Contract Assurance - Education & Lifelong Learning	
	Cloud Computing	Drafts Issued
	Pre-Contract Assurance - Children's Services	
	Pre-Contract Assurance - Planning, Transport and Environment	
	School Asset Management – St Patricks	
Medium		
Insufficient Major Improvement Needed	Gatehouse	
	Follow-up audit - flytipping	
	Recycling in HWRCs	
	St. Cuthbert's RC Primary School	
	Joint Equipment Service	
	Payments to Care Leavers	
	Waste Collections	
	S106 Agreements	Draft Issued
Unsatisfactory	Cardiff West Community High School	

III. COVID-19 Audit Consultancy Work

Scope	Area	Scope
<p>New system checks compliance, internal controls and counter-fraud</p>	Financial Recognition for Social Workers Scheme	<p>Supporting a multidisciplinary team with advice and guidance on design of the system through which grants were administered and paid.</p>
	Economic Resilience Fund	
	Wales culture recovery fund round 2 – freelancer support	
	<p>Cardiff Growth Fund <small>scheme dropped before launch by WG in December 2021</small></p>	
	Winter Fuel Support Scheme	
	Emergency Business Fund	
	Council Tax Payment Grant	
	Unpaid Carers Fund	
	Additional social care payment aligned to the Real Living Wage	

IV. Other Audits and Audits with no opinion provided

Audit Area		Nature
Grants / Accounts / External Bodies		
Joint Committees	Glamorgan Archives	Statement of Accounts Reviews / Support No opinion
	Prosiect Gwyrdd	
	Port Health	
Education Improvement Grant 2020/21		Compliance Certification No opinion
Education Improvement Grant 2019/20*		
Norwegian Church Preservation Trust 2020/21		
Norwegian Church Preservation Trust 2019/20*		
Rumourless Cities Grant		
Cardiff Further Education Trust Fund 2020/21		
No Opinion – Audit Engagements		
National Fraud Initiative		Data Matching
Service / Process Consultancy		Consultancy
PCI - Process Consultancy		
Pre-Contract Assurance - People and Communities		No recent contact awards to examine, so assurance achieved through a sample of contacts relating to Adults, Housing and Communities and Children's Services (under portfolio of Corporate Director)
Creditor payments CRSA 2021/22		CRSA
Payroll CRSA 2021/22		

* No assurance opinion provided on grant certification, but control opinion of 'Effective with opportunity for improvement' provided and draft action plan issued for management response.

Status of Other Audit Reports

V. Audits ongoing at the year-end for conclusion in 2021/22

Audits ongoing at the year-end 2021/22
Safeguarding
Waste Management Enforcement
Health and Safety
Leisure Contract Governance
Shared Regulatory Services in Cardiff
Income and Debtors
School Asset Management – Albany Primary School
Cantonian
Welsh Government Covid Grants - Assurance

VI. Audits Planned for 2021/22 which were deferred until 2022/23, or cancelled

Audit	
Directorate Risk Management	Deferred on 23 November 2021, with the agreement of the Governance and Audit Committee
Delegation and Decision Making	
Contract Variations	
Procurement	
Mileage & Subsistence	
VFM in use of Council Vehicles	
Performance Management – Thematic Audit (x8)	
Central Transport Service	
Electoral Services	
Mental Health Day Services	
Independent Living	
Residential Care	
Emergency Duty Team	
Harbour Authority	
Commercial Waste	
Cardiff Organic Waste Treatment Contract	
Health and Safety – Education & LL	
Primary School Audits (x 2)	
Catering in Opted out Schools	

Audit	
School Admissions	Not started prior to year end, and carried forward into 2022/23.
Cashless Catering in Secondary Schools	
ICF schemes (incl. Families First)	
Disposal of Land and Buildings	
International White Water	
Get me home service	
Schools VAT Assurance	
Youth Offending Service	Taxation and schools VAT assurance audits are planned in 2022/23, so audit is not considered necessary and is cancelled.
Adhoc VAT reviews	

Work Areas where a Standard Audit Output is not prepared

It is important to understand that much work undertaken within Audit will not have a standard action plan / report as an outcome. The following list is not exhaustive, but shows some areas where audit time and resources have been spent during the year without necessarily producing an output.


Work Area	Brief Details of Audit Involvement
Efficiency / Change and other project or process consultancy	Work providing advice and guidance to a number of programmes, projects or initiatives. Senior Members of the Audit Team attend meetings and working groups to input to risk assessments and system developments, especially where financial processes are involved. This year significant work has been completed, providing advice and guidance on the design of processes to administer a range of Welsh Government COVID grant and relief payments, and in undertaking post-award counter-fraud assurance checks.
Procurement and Spend	Auditors are engaged on procurement matters, attending working groups and providing input on risk management and controls. Also, data gathering and challenging spend.
Grants / Account Certification	Independent certification of grant claims and accounts.
Ad hoc Requests	Enquiries and assignments.
Schools - General	There is ongoing and regular engagement, and support for schools. Prior to the start of the financial year a new comprehensive school CRSA was developed, responded to by schools, and subject to desktop audit review. The outcomes and areas for control improvements were communicated to schools during 2021/22. A senior member of the audit team attends and contributes to 'schools causing concern' meetings.
Directorates - general	Advice, guidance and training is provided to directorates on topics such as procurement systems and rules, DigiGOV and imprest accounts. Officers can also be active members of service review groups, implementation boards, etc.
Rules and Regulations	Advice and guidance and sharing of best practice in many areas. General work around governance.
External Clients	Audit of clients' risks, systems and procedures (as per Terms of Reference). Audit of Accounts. Provision of advice due to knowledge on risk management and controls.
Imprest (Petty Cash) Accounts	Advice, guidance, training and assisting in the reconciliation process.
Governance and Audit Committee	Advice, reporting and support – including induction training, work planning.

Quality Assurance and Improvement Programme – Operational Approach

The QAIP is built around a performance management approach, which involves 'setting expectations', 'reviewing performance', and 'reporting performance' at individual auditor and team levels. The application of these control stages are summarised as follows.

<p>1. Setting Expectations - With clear expectations in place, auditors can focus on delivery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Audit Allocation - Each auditor has a quarterly allocation of work, which is stretching but achievable. Auditors are responsible for delivering their allocation effectively and on time. ✓ Scoping and Objectives – Each auditor has clear and documented objectives for each audit engagement, that they are responsible for delivering. ✓ Audit 'Pit Stop' - When half of the audit time is used, a senior team member completes a short and sharp review of progress against the audit objectives. This can either result in assurance that the audit is being delivered effectively, or it leads to expectations being re-set, with actions developed for the auditor to conclude a high quality audit engagement on time. ✓ Personal Reviews – Each year delivery, training and development goals and objectives are established for each auditor, in recognition of the individual and collective skills needed to deliver the risk-based plan effectively in the current and medium term.
<p>2. Reviewing Performance - Expectations are revisited in quality assurance and control reviews.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Monitoring - Each auditor attends a monthly monitoring meeting, through which the delivery of their 'Audit Allocation' is reviewed, issues are identified and addressed. ✓ Quality Assurance Review - Each audit is subject to a quality assurance review by a member of the audit management team, to ensure high quality delivery in accordance with the Code of Ethics and the Standards. The review considers the quality of evidence to support the audit 'Objectives', and the delivery of actions resulting from the audit 'Pit Stop'. ✓ Post Audit Assessment – Upon conclusion of each audit, the auditor, and a senior team member review the auditor's performance against best practice technical and behavioural qualities. A client satisfaction survey is also used to identify the audit delivery and value from the client's perspective. Any development needs are identified and progressed. ✓ Six Monthly Personal Review – Progress is measured against the objectives and targets in each Auditor's 'Personal Review', taking account of the findings and outcomes from the activities in the 'Reviewing Performance' control stage. It can lead to new objectives, targets and support.
<p>3. Reporting Performance - A range of performance measures are used for reporting and review.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Core performance measures relate to the audits delivered on time, client satisfaction, recommendations agreed / implemented, productivity and the delivery of personal objectives. ○ Performance information is regularly monitored by the Audit Manager and is considered by the Finance Management Team and the Governance and Audit Committee on a quarterly basis. ○ On an annual basis, the Audit Manager reviews and reports on the application and findings of the performance management Framework that underpins the QAIP to the Governance and Audit Committee. An external assessment of conformance with the PSIAS is completed and reported at least every five years.

Quality Assurance and Improvement Programme – Operational Approach (Diagram)



This document is available in Welsh / Mae'r ddogfen hon ar gael yn Gymraeg